## 257. Tagalog Lexicography

- 1. Background
- 2. Orthography and Accent
- 3. Headword, Derivations, and Entries
- 4. Promulgation of a National Language
- 5. Some Practical Problems
- Future Directions
- 7. Selected Bibliography

#### Background

Dictionaries of Tagalog (= Tag) have had a relatively long and illustrious history. The first known publication (San Buenaventura 1613) is housed in the British Museum.

Until 1986, all have been bilingual, the target language being Spanish (up to 1914) or English (after 1900). Some comparative wordlists are in German, French, and other Philippine languages.

### 2. Orthography and Accent

It is fortunate that the first contacts with the Philippines were by the Spanish who could phonetically record the forms they heard. Even the earliest documents are decipherable, which might not have been the case of an "English invasion". By the time of US intervention, consistent orthographic principles had been established.

In the following table, spellings which have differed from the official orthography are given in parentheses.

p	t	k (c, cq,qu)	P(see
b (v)	d(r)	g (gu)	below)
f	ch (ts)	j (di, dy)	,
m	n	ng(ñg)	
	s(z, x)		h(j, g, x)
w (v, o, u)	y(j, i)		0.0,
1		(rr)	
<b>VOWELS</b>			
i (y, e)		u (o)	
0	9	0	

Fig. 257.1: Modern Tagalog consonants and vowels

Glottal stop [r] is ignored initially and between vowels; in clusters it is written as a hyphen. Otherwise it and accent are treated by the Institute of National Language (= INL) and early Spanish sources (= ES) under accent conventions with reference to the penult (= pn.). An unmarked form is

accented on the penult (ES producta) with corresponding vowel length if the syllable is open; a marked form is accented on the ultima (ES correpta) as in the following illustrations:

PHON. bá:ga bá:ga? bagá bagá? gab?i	baga (pp) = baga (ppa) bagá (pc) =	ANISH  pn. producta grave  pn. producta pausal  pn. correpta grave  pn. correpta guttural  diaeresis
PHON	ETIC INL/M	ODERN GLOSS
bá:ga	baga	ember
bá:ga?	bagà	lungs
bagá	bagá	is it?
bagáP	bagâ	tumor
gabli	gab-í	night

Thus ES palay (pp) = INL palay [pá:lay] 'rice (plant, unhusked)', ES bigas (pc) = INL bigás 'husked rice', ES busa (pca) = INL busâ [busâ?] 'popped rice', ES digäs = INL dig-ás [dig²ás] 'third pounding of rice', ES = INL sinaing [siná:ʔiŋ] 'boiled rice'.

## 3. Headword, Derivations, and Entries

Even the earliest dictionaries showed sparks of ingenuity in isolating roots. Differences in treatment reflect the linguistic acumen of the author rather than his first-language background. The format of most includes the headword followed by translational gloss(es); occasionally sentence examples or derivations were given. Basic grammatical information, although rarely stated explicitly, can be deduced from either the Spanish equivalents or Tagalog examples, e. g., bavo 'arroz o algo en mortero' [= noun], nagbabayo 'molerlo asi' [= active verb], binabayo 'ser molido' [= passive verb].

Nevertheless, Tagalog has a rich and complicated inflectional system, e. g., the subtle intricacies between mag- and -um- verbs (Pittman 1966) or their active and passive counterparts (McFarland 1976). Although lexicographic practice still lags behind linguistic discoveries, it was only in this century

that scholars have attempted to deal systematically with such phenomena: by formulae and/or example. The provision of examples or derivations has proven more comprehensible to a non-technical audience. Such information is given under the root within the main entry. Panganiban 1972, 220 is an example of such an entry:

kain<sup>1</sup> n. consumption of food. Cf. lamon. — Bk. kakan; Kpm. Ilk. Ind. Mal. Png. mangán; Hlg. Sb. SL. kaon (cf. Tg. kaón); Ibg. Mar. kan; Ivt. kanen (cf. Tg. kanin); Mgd. kaan; Tau kimun.

 Kakákain-kain ko pa lamang. I have just finished eating.

- Kakanin, q.v.

- Kainan, var. kanan, v. to eat off (x, as a dish) or

in (x), as a place). Vide kanan.

- Káinan, n. (a) simultaneous eating of several (persons or animals) — (b) place or utenşil from which or in which feeding or eating is done, as silid-káinan: dining room, Syn. komedór; mesang káinan: dining table; pinggáng káinan: dish or china used in eating.
- Kainin, var. kanin, v. to eat (x). Syn. kumain, vtr. Vide kanin.
- Kanin—(a) v. var. of kainin, q.v.—(b) n. boiled or steamed rice (out of pot and ready to eat). \*\*Note: palay, rice grains still in shell, and also the whole rice plant; bigás, hulled rice; sinaing, hulled rice being boiled or steamed; kaning-lamíg, cold boiled or steamed rice, usually left-over kanin kept for another meal; sinangág, fried kanin; murisketa tustada Sp.: fried kanin mixed with meats and condiments; ampáw, puffed rice, sweetened puffed rice; mumo: grains of kanin fallen off dish on table; lugaw, nilugaw Ch.: rice porridge; aruskalús.: rice porridge with chicken or meat; arusbalensyana Sp.: spiced soft-fried kanin styled after the manner done in Valencia (Spain).
- Ikain, v. to use (x) as tool or means of eating.
- Kapakanán, q.v. n. interests, affairs, welfare.
  Maáaring makain, makákain adj. edible, can be
- mattering makain, makakain adj. edible, can be eaten.
  Makain, v. to be able to eat (x) Waláng ma-
- Makain, v. to be able to eat (x) Waláng makain: none of the supply can be eaten; nothing is edible. — Di-makakain: cannot eat, having no appetite, unable to eat.
- Mákain v. to have something to eat; to happen to eat (x). — Waláng mákain: to have nothing to eat.
- Makakain, v. to be able to eat. Nang makakain na kami. . . After we have eaten. . .
- Makikain, v. to join others at meal; to ask others to be allowed to eat or be given food.
- Magkain, magkakain, v. to eat continuously or repeatedly.
- Magpakain, v. to feed; to allow others to eat.
- Pakainin, var. pakanin, v. to feed (x).
- Pákainín, var. pákakanín, n. person or animal one has to feed. Cf. sustentuhin, alagà.
- Pagkain, n. food. Bk. Sb. kakanon; Kpm.

pámangán; Hlg. Sb. pagkaon; Ibg. kanan; Ibg. kanan; Ilk. Ivt. Png. kanon; Ind. Mal. makanan; Mar. pangunungkan; Mgd. kan; Tau. kakaon.

Dictionary excerpt 257.1: kain (from: Panganiban 1972, 220)

# 4. Promulgation of a National Language

As early as 1897 Tagalog was proposed as the National Language, which was officially named *Pilipino* in 1939 and rechristened *Filipino* in 1973. Regardless of recurring opposition, some form of Tagalog is now spoken or understood by almost 70 % of the population, the cumulative result of bilingual education, movies, comics, and news media (see Gonzalez 1980).

As the medium of communication around busy Manila Bay for centuries, Tagalog had been borrowing from nearby Pampango, Sambal, or Pangasinan, and contact languages (Brunei-Malay, Spanish) resulting in an enriched vocabulary and a rapidly

developing literary genre.

The 1940's saw a period of purism in the contruction of Pilipino. Coinages such as salumpuwit 'seat' or banyuhay 'metamorphosis' were introduced to replace already assimilated loans silva 'chair' (Sp.), metamórposis (Sp./Eng.). Some such scientific terms introduced in textbooks have now been accepted and are in use. Recent trends have again been recognising Tag as the Koine it is: freely incorporating Spanish and English loans, forms from other Philippine languages which have no Tag counterpart, or widely used words as acceptable synonyms [baláy 'house' = Tag bahay, danóm 'water' = Tag tubig. Panganiban (1972) already represented a step in this direction.

Some native authors feel that more information on derivatives is necessary for non-Tagalog users, e. g., kakainin 'tidbit, snack' should have its own entry rather than be under kain 'eat'. This trend has resulted in dictionaries with thick sections where prefixes like ka- [noun], ma- [adjective], mag- [verb] were involved (Santos 1978). Since a fully-inflected verb may have up to 144 forms, strict adherence to this procedure could result in a basic lexicon with over half a million entries! Clearly some grammatical mastery must be supplied to or be assumed on the part of the user.

#### 5. Some Practical Problems

While it is hoped that a dictionary would foster national language development, the majority of the population simply cannot afford one of the more comprehensive volumes — costing 20% of a teacher's already low monthly salary.

Furthermore, most publications in Tagalog do not use the accent symbols required in the official orthography. Although context can enlighten the curious as to meaning, information about the glottal stop or accent is more often obtained from a friend or teacher than by a painstaking search through a tome.

Given this situation, a dictionary is unlikely to replace media and word-of-mouth as the vehicle of National Language formation in the Philippines.

#### 6. Future Directions

Several inexpensive lexicons are available (de Guzman 1968 and Sagalongos 1968 costing about 20 pesos each) and the educational system has come to rely heavily on them. Such works could be upgraded and expanded along with their lexicographic scholarship.

Bilingual dictionaries (especially into English) have served an important role, since English formerly was understood by more educated people than any indigenous language. However, a new age in Tagalog lexicography dawned in the late 1980's when a group of Manila teachers published a monolingual dictionary (1986). Shortly thereafter (1989) the INL released a larger monolingual dictionary, which certainly is an important next step in National Language development as it has proven in the development of any standard language.

There is a need to make a comprehensive dictionary drawing on all previous studies and as many Tagalog/Filipino publications as possible. Authors thus far appear to have set out alone leaving the valuable work of others aside; codes could be devised to credit sources thereby insuring the widest possible coverage of both archaic and current forms.

Filipinos are lovers of etymology; such data have long been included within most studies. Nevertheless, fact and fancy have been mixed, e. g., relating supsóp 'suck' to Sp. chupar rather than Austronesian \*supsup. The Core Etymological Dictionary of Filipino offers future lexicographers a more reliable and comprehensive source, although it is suggested that these data come at the end of each entry (Zorc 1979—).

#### 7. Selected Bibliography

#### 7.1. Dictionaries

de Guzman 1968 = Maria Odulio de Guzman: Bagong Diksionaryo Pilipino-English, English-Pilipino. Manila 1968.

INL 1989 = Linangan ng mga sa Pilipinas. Diksyunaryo ng Wikang Filipino. Manila 1989.

Manila Teachers 1986 = Anonymous. Diksyunaryo Filipino-Filipino. Manila 1986.

Panganiban 1972 = José Villa Panganiban: Diksyunaryo-Tesauro Pilipino-Ingles. Quezon City 1972.

Sagalongos 1968 = Felicidad T. E. Sagalongos: Diksiyunaryong Engles-Pilipino, Pilipino-Engles. Manila 1968.

San Buenaventura 1613 = Pedro de San Buenaventura: Vocabulario de Lengua Tagala. Manila 1613.

Santos 1978 = Vito C. Santos: Pilipino-English Dictionary. Manila 1978.

Zorc 1979— = R. David Zorc: Core Etymological Dictionary of Filipino. Linguistic Society of the Philippines Publications 12—14. Manila 1979.

#### 7.2. Other Publications

Gonzalez 1980 = Andrew B. Gonzales, FSC: Language and Nationalism. Ateneo de Manila University Press 1980.

"McFarland 1976 = Curtis D. McFarland: A Provisional Classification of Tagalog Verbs. Study of Languages & Cultures of Asia & Africa Monograph 8. Tokyo 1976.

Pittman 1966 = Richard Pittman: Tagalog -umand mag-, an interim report. In: Papers in Philippine Linguistics No. 1. Pacific Linguistics A.8. 1966, 9—20.

> R. David Paul Zorc, School of Australian Linguistics, Batchelor, N. T. (Australia)