SUB-SAHARAN AFRICAN SURVEY COVER SHEET

To: Staff and Interested Parties

From: David Zorc

Date: 26 April 2017 [revised as PDF]

Below please find

A. The survey, subdivided as follows:

pages 3-4	21 language summaries & needs, <u>arranged by populatio</u> n
pages 5-7	commentary and brief report
pages 7-9	One paragraph summaries of language needs, arranged in
	<u>alphabetical order</u>
page 9-10	general background information (useful sources and sites)
page 10	acknowledgements

B. Fact sheets for the following languages have been completed and are available on request:

on request.				
Akan-Twi	Bambara AKA Mandekan			
Bantu	Bemba			
Chewa	Fanagalo			
Fulfulde AKA Fulani	Hausa			
Igbo ~ Ibo	Ikikuyu AKA Gikuyu			
Kongo	Luganda			
Maay	Malagasy AKA Hova			
Mandingo	Mende			
Mulenge (Kinyamulenge)	Ndebele			
Nyanja	Oromo			
Rundi (Kirundi)	Ruund			
Rwanda (Kinyarwanda)	Sango			
Sepedi (Northern Sotho)	Shona			
Somali	Sotho (Southern Sotho, Sesotho)			
Swahili (kiSwahili)	Swati (siSwati) AKA Swazi			
Tiv	Tsonga			
Tswana	Umbundu			
Urhobo	Venda			
Wolof	Xhosa			
Yoruba	Zulu			

Note: the fact sheets contain information gathered from the Library of Congress and the CALL/UCLA database on these languages. I have appended photocopies of the relevant pages from David Dwyer's survey; books <u>highlighted in green</u> are covered in the fact sheets (i.e., my work with the LOC and CALL/UCLA searches).

Books not highlighted in green may be of importance, but were not included in the aforementioned materials.

All of the remaining fact sheets will be forwarded when completed.

C. Cover sheet for the African Language Index (Preliminary Version) The full manuscript is available upon request.

TOP PRIORITY AFRICAN LANGUAGES ranked by (approximate) population:

TOP PRIORITY AFRICAN LANG			
LANGUAGE	POPULATION	COUNTRIES	
Arabic	100,000,000 L1	middle east, northern third of	
NEED: Thorough dialect	250,000,000 L2	Africa: Algeria, Cameroon,	
survey to determine subse-		Chad, Egypt, Libya, Morocco	
quent priorities and needs			
Hausa	20,000,000 L1	Benin, Cameroon, Chad, Niger,	
NEED: NONE	40,000,000 L2	Nigeria, Togo; + Ghana, Cote	
		d'Ivoire, Libya, Senegal, Sudan	
Swahili	20,000,000 L1	east Africa: Comoros Is., Kenya,	
NEED: NONE	10,000,000 L2	Mozambique, Tanzania, Zaire	
Oromo	18,000,000	Ethiopia, Kenya	
NEEDS: dictionary; textbook			
Yoruba	16,000,000	Benin, Nigeria, Togo	
NEEDS: reference grammar;			
learner's dictionary			
Igbo ~ Ibo	12,000,000	Nigeria	
NEED: (newspaper) reader			
Fulani ~ Fulfulde ~ Fula ~	10,000,000	Benin, Burkina Faso,	
Peulh ~ Pulaar		Cameroon, Chad, Gambia,	
NEED: newspaper reader;		Guinea, Guinea Bissau,	
assessment of other materials		Mauritania, Mali, Niger,	
		Nigeria, Senegal	
Amharic	8,500,000 L1	Ethiopia	
NEED: reference grammar	5,500,000 L2		
Lingala ~ Ngala	8,400,000	Angola, Cameroon, Central	
NEED: (newspaper) reader;		African Republic, Congo, Zaire	
reference grammar			
Berber -Tamasheq	8,000,000	Algeria, Morocco; Burkina	
NEED: Thorough dialect		Faso, Chad (western), Libya,	
survey to determine subse-		Mali, Niger, Nigeria	
quent priorities and needs			
Manding ~ Mandingo, Man-	3,500,000 L1	spreading through west Africa:	
dekan, Mandinka , Malinke;	3,500,000 L2	Burkina Faso, Cote d'Ivoire,	
Bambara ~ Bamanakan;		Gambia, Ghana, Guinea,	
Dyula ; Sose		Liberia, Mali, Senegal, Sierra	
NEED: Bambara newspaper		Leone, Upper Volta	
reader; determine if Bambara			
materials suffice for all			
dialects; otherwise Dyula,			
Mandinka, Maninka readers			
Shona ~ Swina, Chishona	7,000,000	Mozambique, Zambia,	
•	•	Zimbabwe	
NEEDS: (newspaper) reader,		Ziiiibabwc	

Rwanda ~ Rundi ~ Kirundi	6,205,300	Burundi, Rwanda , Tanzania,
NEEDS: (newspaper) reader,	18,000,000 L2	Uganda, Zaire
reference grammar		
Sango ~ Sangho [Ngbandi	4,900,000	Central African Republic,
based creole]		Cameroon, Chad, Zaire
NEED: (newspaper) reader		
Nyanja ~ Chinyanja, Chewa ~	4,500,000	Malawi , Mozambique, Tan-
Chichewa		zania, Zambia, Zimbabwe
NEED: newspaper reader		
Akan ~ Twi, Fante, Asante,	4,300,000	Ghana , Cote d'Ivoire
Asanti		
NEED: Newspaper Reader		
Tigrinya	3,600,000	Eritrea, Ethiopia
NEED: being met		
Kongo ~ Congo, Cabinda,	3,200,000	Congo; Angola, Gabon, Zaire
Kikongo, Kituba		
NEED: (newspaper) reader		
Wolof ~ Ouolof, Yallof, Walaf,	3,000,000	Gambia, Mauritania, Senegal
Volof		
NEED: reader; dictionary		
Umbundu ~ Mbundu,	1,800,000	Angola , Namibia
Loanda, Nano, Mbali, Mbari		
NEEDS: reader, textbook,		
grammar & dictionary		
Tiv	1,500,000	Cameroon, Nigeria
NEED: (newspaper) reader		

Commentary

According to one recognized authority, David Dalby, the top three languages in Africa are: Arabic, Hausa, and Swahili, followed by Amharic, Lingala, and Manding. The ALTUS (African Language Teachers of the US) established 23 Priority "A" languages, which are, in alphabetical order: Akan, Amharic, Arabic, Chewa/Nyanja, Fulfulde (Fulani), Hausa, Igbo (Ibo), Kongo, Lingala, Malagasy, Mandingo (Mandekan, Bambara), Oromo, Ruanda/Rundi, Sango, Shona, Somali, Sotho-Tswana, Swahili, Tigrinya, Umbundu, Wolof, Xhosa-Zulu-Swazi, and Yoruba. With the exception of Berber and Tiv, none of the Priority "B" and "C" languages appear to be of sufficient population or political interest to merit consideration at this time. Unexpected and dramatic political shifts could, of course, change this at any moment! The ability to respond promptly to a language crisis is perhaps the highest priority in Africa.

Of these major languages, only the following have been omitted:

	REASON 1	REASON 2
Malagasy	work in progress	adequate coverage
Somali	projects completed	adequate coverage
Sotho	see last year's SA survey	work in progress
Tswana	see last year's SA survey	
Swazi	see last year's SA survey	
Xhosa	see last year's SA survey	
Zulu	see last year's SA survey	

The remaining 21 languages are presented in the above table (on the first two pages), listed exclusively by population statistics. Each of them is discussed <u>below</u>, but note that the list there is <u>in alphabetical order</u>. Ultimately, priority should be determined or assigned on the basis of:

- 1. political importance
- 2. population (in millions of speakers)
- 3. number of nations where it is spoken
- 4. closeness or "mileage" (easy or fast transfer to another language)
- 5. need of materials (urgent to maybe)
- 6? appearance on US Government RFP's

In order to get the information out ASAP, I am presenting my <u>conclusions</u> <u>first. Fact sheets will follow</u>. For anyone so requiring, coverage through the mid-1980' can be readily checked in Dwyer's book (available at the **LRC** and at **UMES**), while newer material can be viewed through the Library of Congress search engine on the Internet.

Early in the month, I did an Internet search of the CALL/UCLA and the Library of Congress databases to gather lists of books and publications on each of the languages. Instead of doing a printout of the results, I saved the data to disk, so that minor formatting (rather than re-keying) has sped up production.

Information in the individual language fact sheets may cover (depending on availability of information):

- (1) language name,
- (2) alternate names by which it is known,
- (3) dialects.
- (4) membership in a linguistic group,
- (5) population statistics,
- (6) percent of nation's population,
- (7) my priority rating (as well as that of ALTUS),
- (8) social significance,
- (9) political significance,
- (10) areas where it is spoken,
- (11) orthography,
- (12) use (in education, media, etc.),
- (13) radio broadcasts,
- (14) print media (newspapers, periodicals),
- (15) institutional resources,
- (16) individual resources,
- (17) bibliographical references (to learning materials, grammars, dictionaries, readers, and background cultural or historical information).

Since I can not personally evaluate all these books to determine the true depth and extent of coverage, we must rely on contacts with professionals in the field. Important facts concerning a grammar too theoretical to be of any use, or a dictionary unusable by a beginner cannot be gleaned from title, date, or number of pages. I would have deemed Yoruba to be "adequate" based on the sheer volume of materials published. However, Prof. Schleicher states that the grammars are either Latinate (ones pre-dating the 1940's) or are out to prove one or another linguistic theory, and are therefore incomprehensible to the average student. Similarly, there are some comprehensive dictionaries, but organized by root word, so that the beginner cannot find what he/she is looking for. The revised conclusion for Yoruba is that a **student-friendly reference grammar** and a learner's dictionary are required.

One exceptionally important side-product of this research has been the "Index of African Language Names," which I also plan to submit for publication in the first edition of the forthcoming ALTA Journal. In my first week of research, I had identified 455 language names; by the second, it grew to 2,465!, and clearly that is still not the end ("new" language names continue to arise). Most languages have an average of six names, some up to a dozen. Given this plethora of names and chaotically-applied criteria for identification, finding information on any given language can be a very frustrating task. Sometimes a name is geographically oriented, so that it can refer to up to five totally distinct languages [Lunda =

Bemba, Chokwe, Ndembu, or Ruund]. Sometimes the same dialect is given a new name when tribal or national boundaries are crossed [Bambara = Bamanakan]. Sometimes an outsider's name has become more popular than the name the speakers call themselves [Fulani {Hausa} = Fulfulde {autonym}]. All of this is reflected in the separately-attached *Index of African Names*, with a cross-reference to one name (or at most, if warranted, two).

The Language Needs

AKAN has (had) two periodicals, *Nkwantabisa* and *Akwansosem*, so a newspaper reader could be developed. Collection and evaluation of extant materials should be done during such a project to test the adequacy of course and grammatical materials, as well as the coverage of dictionaries. The degree to which the five main dialects (Akyem, Akuapem, Asante, Brong, and Fante) are truly mutually-intelligible should also be tested.

AMHARIC needs a <u>user-friendly reference grammar</u> according to student reactions at LRC.

ARABIC has a bewildering array of dialects, yet orthographic (social) conventions do not allow these to appear in writing. A <u>survey of the dialect situation insofar as it affects intelligibility</u> should be done. SIL may have something already, so they should be contacted first. Otherwise, an academic institution is well-poised to work with scholars in the field, SIL, and other groups. A wordlist (e.g., 100 items) likely to reveal dialect differentiation could be developed. (See Zorc's for the Philippines for some ideas; it probably would not apply to any other language family.)

BERBER has a wide array of dialects, not all of which may be mutually intelligible. Once <u>accurate information as to population</u>, <u>degrees of intelligibility as well as difference</u> is obtained, a determination can be made as to what materials are usuable and what need to be developed.

FULFULDE (FULANI) has an active press and certainly needs a <u>newspaper reader</u>. Collection and evaluation of extant materials should be done during such a project to test the adequacy of course and grammatical materials, as well as the coverage of dictionaries. The English materials produced in Japan look promising.

HAUSA appears to be <u>well documented</u>: textbooks (all levels), grammars, dictionaries, readers. See INFORMATION SHEET.

IGBO needs a (<u>newspaper</u>) <u>reader</u>. Even though the press is suppressed, materials could be developed by a combination of: "timeless articles" in older papers, transcripts of radio broadcasts, and astute consultants. Collection and evaluation of extant materials should be done during such a project to test the

adequacy of course and grammatical materials, as well as the coverage of dictionaries.

KONGO has several radio broadcasts, but there is no mention of a press. A <u>newspaper-like reader</u> should be produced from transcriptions if no print media are found. Collection and evaluation of extant materials should be done during such a project to test the adequacy of course and grammatical materials, as well as the coverage of dictionaries.

LINGALA has several radio broadcasts, but there is no mention of a press. A <u>newspaper-like reader</u> should be produced from transcriptions if no print media are found. Since most surveys are either in French or contained passim in textbooks, a <u>reference grammar</u> is clearly needed. Collection and evaluation of other materials should be done during such a project to test the adequacy of course and grammatical materials, as well as the coverage of dictionaries.

MANDING is one of several labels applied to languages otherwise known as Bambara, Dyula, Mandingo, Mandinka, and Sose. Of these, **Bambara** is the best documented. If all are mutually-intelligible, then these Bambara materials may suffice. Otherwise, readers may be necessary for each of the major dialects.

NYANJA (in Zambia) and **CHEWA** (in Malawi) are mutually intelligible and have a range of print media (daily, monthly), so a <u>newspaper reader</u> could be developed. Collection and evaluation of extant materials should be done during such a project to test the adequacy of course and grammatical materials, as well as the coverage of dictionaries.

OROMO needs a <u>comprehensive dictionary</u> (between 20% - 40% of the words used in the press are not found in either of the two published sources). MRM has the resources to do this (established database, numerous wordlists, network of contacts, etc.). The one textbook is written by an Oromo who rushes through major elements that need much drilling (e.g., verbs are covered in 1/3 page by Ali, yet 13 pages in Zorc's grammatical sketch). Alternatively, supplementary lessons or materials geared to that textbook would serve (much had been prepared by MRM staff during a course).

RWANDA and **RUNDI** are mutually intelligible. The vast majority of material on either is in French. Minimally a (newspaper) reader (if there is a press; transcripts, if not) and reference grammar are required. Collection and evaluation of extant materials should be done during such a project to test the adequacy of course and grammatical materials, as well as the coverage of the bigger French dictionaries, i.e., possibly a translation of one of these might do.

SANGO has both radio and TV broadcasts, but there is no mention of a press. A <u>newspaper-like reader</u> could be produced from transcriptions if no print media are found. Collection and evaluation of extant materials should be done

during such a project to test the adequacy of course and grammatical materials, as well as the coverage of dictionaries.

SHONA has numerous papers and a sizable literature, so a <u>newspaper</u> <u>reader</u> would be a top priority. Grammatical coverage does not appear to be adequate, so a reference grammar would be the next step, and could be tied to or incorporated with the reader project. A monolingual Shona dictionary is being developed at the University of Oslo by Mrs. Oddrun Grønvik; perhaps arrangements could be made to work with or purchase her database for a Shona-English version?

SWAHILI is <u>very well documented</u>: textbooks (all levels), grammars, dictionaries, readers, even an on-line database and parser programs.

TIGRINYA needs are currently being met (dictionary, reader and reference grammar are under production).

TIV is a borderline case in terms of priority. There is at least one newspaper (*Mwanger u tiv*), so a newspaper reader could be produced. Collection and evaluation of extant materials should be done during such a project to test the adequacy of course and grammatical materials, as well as the coverage of dictionaries.

UMBUNDU has very poor coverage (especially in English) and therefore needs virtually everything: reader, reference grammar, textbook and dictionary.

WOLOF has both radio and TV broadcasts, but there is no mention of a press. A <u>newspaper-like reader</u> could be produced from transcriptions if no print media are found. There is a need for a good-sized Wolof-English dictionary. Works in French far surpass those in English). Collection and evaluation of extant materials in English should be done during such a project to test the adequacy of course and grammatical materials, as well as the coverage of the bigger French dictionaries, i.e., possibly a translation of one of these might do.

YORUBA, despite the long list of materials available, needs a <u>user-friendly</u> reference grammar and a <u>introductory or learner's dictionary</u> (see commentary above).

General Background Information

I found the following books or websites very useful for an overview of the Sub-Saharan African language situation:

Anonymous. 1988. <u>Africa South of the Sahara, 1989</u>. Eighteenth Edition. London: Europa Publications Limited. «**LRC**»

- Anonymous. 1993. <u>African Books in Print</u>. [4th edition] London and New York: Hans Zell Publications. «**LOC**»
- Dakubu, M. E. Kropp. 1977. West African language data sheets. Vol. 1. Legon: West African Linguistic Society. «**LOC**» «**UCLA**»
- Dwyer, David J. 1987. <u>A Resource Handbook for African Languages</u>. East Lansing: African Studies Center, Michigan State University. «**LRC**»
- Fivaz, Derek and Patricia E. Scott. 1977. <u>African languages: a genetic and decimalised classification for bibliographic and general reference</u>. [xxxiv + 332pp] «**LOC**» [Z697.A33 F58]
- Grimes, Barbara, ed. 1996. <u>Ethnologue: Languages of the World, 13th Edition</u>. SIL. <**www.sil.org**>
- Johnson, Dora, et al. 1976. A Survey of Materials for the Study of the Uncommonly Taught Languages. Vol. 6: Sub-Saharan Africa. Washington, DC: Center for Applied Linguistics. «**LRC**»
- Mann, Michael and David Dalby, with Philip Baker, et al. 1987. A thesaurus of African Languages: a classified and annotated inventory of the spoken languages of Africa: with an appendix on their written representation. London, New York: H. Zell Publishers. [325pp] «**LOC**» [PL8005.M36 1987]
- UCLA Los Angeles, Language Materials Project <www.lmp.ucla.edu>

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my gratitude to the following people who assisted me in finding or directly provided information contained in this proposal:

Prof. David Dwyer (Michigan State University)

Dick Mueller (CALL)

Prof. Antonia Schleicher (U/Wisc Madison)